1		10.0
2		JS-6
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT FOR
9	THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION
10		
11	SYNERGY HEMATOLOGY- ONCOLOGY MEDICAL ASSOCIATES, INC., a California medical corporation,	Case No. 2:22-cv-01560 SPG (JEMx)
12		
13	Plaintiff,) JUDGMENT)
14	VS.) Hon. Sherilyn Peace Garnett U.S. District Judge
15	ABBOTT LABORATORIES INC., a Delaware corporation, and Does 1 to 10,	
16	inclusive,) Courtroom: 5C
17	Defendants.	
18 19	ABBOTT LABORATORIES INC., a Delaware corporation,	
20	Counterclaim Plaintiff,	
21	v.	
22	SYNERGY HEMATOLOGY-	
23	ONCOLOGY MEDICAL ASSOCIATES, INC., a California medical corporation,	
24	Counterclaim Defendant.	
25)
26	The above-entitled matter came on for	jury trial commencing June 20, 2023,
27	and ending June 26, 2023, a total of five days	s, before the Honorable Sherilyn Peace
28	Garnett, U.S. District Court Judge.	
		Judgment

[O:\SPG\ECF Ready\22-1560 Synergy Judgment.wpd]

1	Appearing for plaintiff/counterclaim defendant SYNERGY
2	HEMATOLOGY-ONCOLOGY MEDICAL ASSOCIATES, INC., was lead counsel,
3	Raquel Flyer Dachner and David R. Flyer; appearing for defendant/counterclaim
4	plaintiff ABBOTT LABORATORIES INC., was lead counsel David J. Noonan and
5	Genevieve M. Sauter.
6	A jury of eight (8) persons was empaneled and sworn to try the matter. The
7	following percipient witnesses testified: Levon Qasabian, M.D.; Evelyn Legaspi;
8	Michael Brandon; Devin Dirocco; Michael Spector; John Brammer; Danielle Hilliard;
9	and Alyssa Joy Oana by deposition. The following expert witnesses testified: Lee
10	Abaigar; Javed Khan; John Roche; and Paul Zimmer. A total of 35 exhibits were
11	admitted in evidence.
12	The jury was instructed and unanimously rendered the following special
13	verdict:
14	We, the jury, after considering the Jury Instructions provided to us,
15	find the following special verdict on the questions submitted to us, to
16	which we have all agreed:
17	I. SYNERGY'S CLAIM FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT
18	 Did Synergy prove that it substantially performed its obligations under the contract?
19	No
20	If your answer to question 1 is yes, skip question 2 and answer question 3. If your answer to question 1 is no, answer question 2.
21	
22	2. Did Synergy prove it had a valid excuse for not performing the contract?
23	Yes No
2425	If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no, skip questions 3 through 8 and go to question 9.
26	3. Did Synergy prove Abbott breached the contract by failing to do something that the contract required it to do?
27 28	No
	2

1 2	If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, skip questions 4 through 8 and go to question 9.
3	4. Did Synergy prove it sustained damages resulting from Abbott's breach of contract?
4	No
5	If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, skip questions 5 through 8 and go to question 9.
6	
7	5. What amount of damages did Synergy sustain as a result of Abbott's breach of contract?
8	\$ <u>\$190,557.17</u>
9 10	If your answer to question 5 is higher than \$0, answer question 6. If your answer to question 5 is \$0, skip questions 6 through 8 and go to question 9.
11	6. Could Synergy have avoided incurring some of its damages with reasonable effort and ordinary care?
12	Yes X No
13	
1415	If your answer to question 6 is yes, answer question 7. If your answer to question 6 is no, the amount listed in question 5 above is the amount of damages that you should award to Synergy. Skip questions 7 through 8 and go to question 9.
16	7. What amount of damages could Synergy have avoided if it had exercised reasonable effort and care?
17	s
18	Go to question 8.
19	8. Subtract the amount you have listed in question 7 from the
20	amount listed in question 5. This is the amount of damages to be awarded to Synergy on its breach of contract claim.
21	\$
22	Now go to question 9.
23	II. SYNERGY'S CLAIM FOR BREACH OF WARRANTY
24	9. Did Abbott make an affirmation of fact or promise in the
25	contract that during the warranty period, and the term of any service agreement, the Architect ci4100 (hereinafter referred to as the
26	"Equipment") will be operational (capable of producing clinical fest
27	results) and will maintain a level of uptime equal to or better than 98% for the covered business hours according to any service agreement, excluding planned maintenance (i.e., preventative maintenance or system
28	upgrades)?

1	No	
2	If your answer to question 9 is yes, then answer question 10. If you answered no, skip questions 10 through 19, and go to question 20.	
3	10. Did Abbott's affirmation of fact or promise become part of	
4	the basis of the bargain between the parties?	
5	XYesNo	
6 7	If your answer to question 10 is yes, then answer question 11. If you answered no, skip questions 11 through 19, and go to question 20.	
	11. During the warranty period, and the term of any service	
8 9	11. During the warranty period, and the term of any service agreement, did the Equipment fail to achieve 98% uptime for the covered business hours according to the service agreement, excluding planned maintenance (i.e., preventative maintenance or system upgrades)?	
10	No	
11	If your answer to question 11 is yes, then answer question 12. If	
12	you answered no, skip questions 12 through 19, and go to question 20.	
13	12. Was the failure of the Equipment to achieve 98% uptime during the warranty period and the term of any service agreement caused primarily by Synergy's misuse, alteration, or damage, or use other than in accordance with the Equipment's applicable package insert and/or	
14	accordance with the Equipment's applicable package insert and/or operations manual?	
15	YesXNo	
16		
17	If your answer to question 12 is yes, skip questions 13 through 19, and go to question 20. If you answered no, then answer question 13.	
18	13. Did Synergy make a demand on Abbott to perform under the terms of the warranty?	
19	XYes No	
20	If your answer to question 13 is yes, then answer question 14. If you answered no, skip questions 14 through 19, and go to question 20.	
21	you answered no, skip questions 14 through 19, and go to question 20.	
22	14. Did Abbott fail to perform under the terms of the warranty?	
23	No	
24	If your answer to question 14 is yes, then answer question 15. If you answered no, skip questions 15 through 19, and go to question 20.	
25	15. Did Synergy comply with all of its obligations as set forth in	
26	the terms of the warranty?	
27	No	
28	If your answer to question 15 is yes, then answer question 16. If	

1	you answered no, skip questions 16 through 19, and go to question 20.	
2	16. What amount of damages did Synergy sustain as a result of	
3	16. What amount of damages did Synergy sustain as a result of Abbott's breach of warranty? Do not include any amounts that were included in your answer to question 5, if you answered that question.	
4	\$ <u> </u>	
5	If your answer to question 16 is higher than \$0, answer question 17. If your answer to question 16 is \$0, skip questions 17 through 19, and	
6	go to question 20.	
7	17. Could Synergy have avoided incurring some of its damages with reasonable effort and ordinary care?	
8	Yes No	
9	If your answer to question 17 is yes, answer question 18. If your	
10 11	If your answer to question 17 is yes, answer question 18. If your answer to question 17 is no, the amount listed in question 16 above is the amount of damages that you should award to Synergy. Skip questions 18 through 19, and go to question 20.	
12	18. What amount of damages could Synergy have avoided if it had exercised reasonable effort and care?	
13	nad exercised reasonable effort and care?	
14	Co to question 10	
15	Go to question 19.	
16	awarded to Synergy on its breach of warranty claim.	
17	\$	
18	Now go to question 20.	
19	III. ABBOTT'S COUNTERCLAIM FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT	
2021	20. Did Abbott prove that it substantially performed its obligations under the contract?	
22	YesXNo	
23	If your answer to question 20 is yes, skip question 21 and answer question 22. If your answer to question 20 is no, answer question 21.	
24		
25	21. Did Abbott prove it had a valid excuse for not performing the contract?	
26	YesXNo	
27	If your answer to question 21 is yes, answer question 22. If your	
28	answer to question 21 is no, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.	

1	22. Did Abbott prove Synergy breached the contract by failing	
2	to do something the contract required it to do?	
3	YesNo	
4	If your answer to question 22 is yes, answer question 23. If your answer to question 22 is no, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.	
5	23. Did Abbott prove it sustained damages resulting from	
6	Synergy's breach?	
7	Yes No	
8 9	If your answer to question 23 is yes, answer question 24. If your answer to question 23 is no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.	
10	24. Did Synergy prove that Abbott violated the terms of a	
11	24. Did Synergy prove that Abbott violated the terms of a condition precedent that the Equipment ci4100 achieve uptime of at least 98% over the thirty-day period immediately preceding acceptance, which excused performance of the contract by Synergy?	
12	Yes No	
13 14	If your answer to question 24 is yes, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. If your	
15	answer to question 24 is no, answer question 25.	
16	25. Did Synergy prove its affirmative defense of prior, material breach of contract which served to defeat the objectives of the parties in making the agreement?	
17	Yes No	
18	If your answer to question 25 is yes, stop here, answer no further	
19	If your answer to question 25 is yes, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. If your answer to question 25 is no, answer question 26.	
20 21	26. What are Abbott's damages for Synergy's breach of contract?	
22	\$	
23	Stop here and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.	
24	D-4-1. (/2//22 Sin-1. /-/ DEDACTED	
25	Dated: 6/26/23 Signed: /s/ REDACTED Presiding Juror	
26	After this verdict form has been signed notify the Colorb/bailiff!	
27	After this verdict form has been signed, notify the [clerk/bailiff] that you are ready to present your verdict in the courtroom	
28		

It is therefore ORDERED that plaintiff SYNERGY HEMATOLOGY-ONCOLOGY MEDICAL ASSOCIATES, INC., shall have judgment against defendant ABBOTT LABORATORIES, INC., in the amount of \$190,557.17. Dated: June 28, 2023 Sherilyn Feace Garnett United S'a'es Distric' 'udge